arXiv:1605.03704v1 [math.RA] 12 May 2016

CORESOLUTIONS AND DIMENSIONS OF RIGHT ORTHOGONAL CLASS OF PURE PROJECTIVE MODULES

UMAMAHESWARAN ARUNACHALAM†

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that every module has a $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution and we study the dimensions of right orthogonal class of pure projective modules. It is shown that $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}) = \sup\{pd(F)\colon F$ is a pure projective R-module$\} = \sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M)\colon M$ is an R-module$\}$. Finally, we give some equivalent conditions of $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope with the unique mapping property. It is shown that every pure projective R-module is injective if and only if every pure projective R-module is $\mathcal{W}$-injective if and only if every pure projective R-module has a $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope with the unique mapping property.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity and all R-modules, if not specified otherwise, left R-modules.

The notions of (pre)covers and (pre)envelopes of modules were introduced by Enochs in [3]. Since then the existence and the properties of (pre)covers and (pre)envelopes relative to certain submodule categories have been studied widely. The theory of (pre)covers and (pre)envelopes, which plays an important role in homological algebra and representation theory of algebras, becomes now one of the main research topics in relative homological algebra.

Let $\mathscr{C}$ be a class of left R-modules. Following [3], we say that a map $f \in Hom_R(C, M)$ with $C \in \mathscr{C}$ is a $\mathscr{C}$-precover of M, if the group homomorphism $Hom_R(C', f) : Hom_R(C', C) \to Hom_R(C', M)$ is surjective for each $C' \in \mathscr{C}$. A $\mathscr{C}$-precover $f \in Hom_R(C, M)$ of M is called a $\mathscr{C}$-cover of M if f is right minimal. That is, if $fg = f$ implies that g is an automorphism for each $g \in End_R(C)$. $\mathscr{C} \subseteq R - Mod$ is a precovering class (covering class) provided that each module has a $\mathscr{C}$-precover ($\mathscr{C}$-cover). Dually, we have the definition of $\mathscr{C}$ preenvelope ($\mathscr{C}$ envelope).

The conceptions of pure subgroups were first investigated by Prüfer in [7]. Pure subgroups were generalized in various techniques in theory of modules. Pure projective modules come behind closely from the ideas of Prüfer's paper [7]. A module is said to be pure projective [8] if it is projective with respect to pure exact sequences. The classification of coresolutions and dimensions of modules is an important and interesting subject in relative homological algebra. One of the motivations for studying the class of all pure projective modules is a study of coresolutions and dimensions of injectivity of the same class.

The notions of FP-injective modules and FP-injective dimensions of modules and rings were first introduced by Stenström in [12]. The *FP-injective dimension* of an R-module M is defined to be the smallest nonnegative integer n such that M has an FP-injective coresolution of length n. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a class of modules. Mao and Ding in [6] introduced the concept of $\mathcal{W}$-injective modules. A left R-module

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 16E10, 18G10, 18G05.

Keywords and Phrases : pure projective module, $\mathcal{W}$-injective module, $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution, $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution dimension.

M is called $\mathcal{W}$-injective if $Ext^1_R(W, M) = 0$ for all R-modules $W \in \mathcal{W}$. C. Selvaraj et. al. [10] introduced the concept of $\mathcal{W}$-injective modules where $\mathcal{W}$ is the class of all pure projective modules.

$\mathcal{M}$ denotes a category of left R-modules. Clearly, $\mathcal{M}$ is an abelian category with enough injectives. The class $\mathcal{W}^\perp$ of $\mathcal{W}$-injective modules is a full subcategory which is closed under isomorphisms. Similarly, a subcategory of a subcategory $\mathcal{W}^\perp$ of $\mathcal{M}$ always means a full subcategory of $\mathcal{W}^\perp$ which is closed under isomorphisms. By $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M})$ we denote the classes of all projective and all injective objects of a category $\mathcal{M}$, respectively.

Recall that a subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is called *coresolving* if $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and for every short exact sequence $0 \to G' \to G \to G'' \to 0$ with $G' \in \mathcal{C}$ the conditions $G \in \mathcal{C}$ and $G'' \in \mathcal{C}$.

For $M \in Obj(\mathcal{M})$, an injective coresolution of M is an exact sequence $0 \to M \xrightarrow{d^0} I^0 \xrightarrow{d^1} I^1 \xrightarrow{d^2} \cdots \to I^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^n} I^n \to \cdots$ with $I^i \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M})$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. The $im(d^{n-1})$ is called a nth *cosyzygy* of M, denoted by $\Omega^{-n}_{\mathcal{M}}(M)$. An $\mathcal{W}^\perp$-coresolution of M is an exact sequence $0 \to M \xrightarrow{f^0} G^0 \xrightarrow{f^1} G^1 \xrightarrow{f^2} \cdots \to G^{n-1} \xrightarrow{f^n} I^n \to \cdots$ with $G^i \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{W}^\perp$ is a subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$. The imf^{n-1} is called a nth $\mathcal{W}^\perp$-*cosyzygy* of M, denoted by $\Omega^{-n}_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M)$.

Given a class $\mathscr{C}$ of left R-modules, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{C}^\perp &= \left\{N \in R-Mod \mid Ext^1_R(M, N) = 0, \ \forall\ M \in \mathscr{C}\right\} \\ {}^\perp\mathscr{C} &= \left\{N \in R-Mod \mid Ext^1_R(N, M) = 0, \ \forall\ M \in \mathscr{C}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the present paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove that every module has an $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution and discuss the coresolution dimensions $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(-)$ for a coresolving subcategory $\mathcal{W}^\perp$.

In Section 3, we investigate $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution dimensions of modules and give its characterization. It is shown that $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}) = \sup\{pd(F) \colon F$ is a pure projective left R-module$\} = \sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \colon M$ is any left R-module$\}$.

In Section 4, we give some equivalent conditions of $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope with unique mapping property. It is shown that every pure projective R-module has a $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope with the unique mapping property if and only if every pure projective R-module is $\mathcal{W}$-injective if and only if $Ext^1_R(M, N) = 0$ for all pure projective R-modules M and N; if and only if every projective R-module is $\mathcal{W}$-injective if and only if every pure projective R-module is injective if and only if every pure projective R-module has an injective envelope with the unique mapping property.

2. $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution and its dimension

In this section, we discuss the coresolution dimensions $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(-)$ for a coresolving subcategory $\mathcal{W}^\perp$.

An injective coresolution of $M \in Obj\mathcal{M}$ is an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to E^0 \to E^1 \to \cdots$$

with E^i an injective module for each $i \geq 0$.

Now, we give an analogous sequence using $\mathcal{W}$-injective modules instead of injective modules. By [10, Theorem 6.2] and [13, Lemma 1.9], every module over an arbitrary ring has a special $\mathcal{W}$-injective preenvelope.

Definition 2.1. *For $M \in Obj\mathcal{M}$, an exact sequence*

$$0 \to M \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots$$

with G^i an $\mathcal{W}$-injective R-module for each $i \geq 0$ is called a $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution of M if it remains exact when we apply the functor $Hom(-, W)$, where W is $\mathcal{W}$-injective.

The following Theorem proves the existence of $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution of an R-module M.

Theorem 2.2. *Every R-module M has a $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution.*

Proof. Let M be an R-module. By [10, Theorem 6.2], M has an $\mathcal{W}$-injective preenvelope

$$0 \to M \xrightarrow{f} G^0 \to L^1 \to 0,$$

where G^0 is $\mathcal{W}$-injective and L^1 is cokernal of f. By $\mathcal{W}$-injective preenvelope of M, $Hom(G^0, W) \to Hom_R(M, W)$ is surjective for all $\mathcal{W}$-injective R-modules W.

Now L^1 has an $\mathcal{W}^\perp$-preenvelope G^1,

$$0 \to L^1 \to G^1 \to L^2 \to 0.$$

Then we have a commutative diagram



Hence the sequence $Hom_R(G^1, W) \to Hom_R(G^0, W) \to Hom_R(M, W) \to 0$ is exact. Continuing this process we get an exact sequence

$$\cdots \to Hom_R(G^2, W) \to Hom_R(G^1, W) \to Hom_R(G^0, W) \to Hom_R(M, W) \to 0.$$

Therefore, $0 \to M \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots$ is a $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution of M. □

By Theorem 2.2, we can deal with $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution dimension of an R-module M.

The *$\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution dimension* of an R-module M, denoted by $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M)$, is defined to be the smallest nonnegative integer n such that M has an $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution of length n. In other words, $Ext^{n+1}_R(G, M) = 0$ for every pure projective R-module G. If no such n exists, set $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) = \infty$.

Example 2.3. *If M is $\mathcal{W}$-injective, the sequence $0 \to M \to I_0 \to 0$, $M \cong I_0$ is a $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution of M and its length is zero. Hence $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) = 0$. The converse is also true, that is, if $0 \to M \to I_0 \to 0$ is a $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution of M of length 0, then $M \cong I_0$. Hence M is $\mathcal{W}$-injective.*

Proposition 2.4. *The class of all $\mathcal{W}$-injective modules is coresolving.*

Proof. Let $0 \to M_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} M_2 \xrightarrow{\psi} M_3 \to 0$ be an exact sequence of left R-modules with $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$. Let G be a pure projective R-module. By [10, Theorem 6.2] and [13, Lemma 1.9], G has a special $\mathcal{W}$-injective preenvelope. Then by [5, Lemma 2.2.6], G has a special ${}^\perp(\mathcal{W}^\perp)$-precover. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \to K \to A \to G \to 0$ with $A \in {}^\perp(\mathcal{W}^\perp)$ and $K \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$. We prove that M_3 is $\mathcal{W}$-injective, i.e., to prove that $Ext^1_R(G, M_3) = 0$. For this it suffices to extend any $\alpha \in Hom_R(K, M_3)$ to an element of $Hom_R(A, M_3)$. Clearly, K has ${}^\perp(\mathcal{W}^\perp)$-precover,

$$0 \to K' \xrightarrow{f} A' \xrightarrow{g} K \to 0,$$

where K, $K^{'} \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ and $A' \in^{\perp} (\mathcal{W}^{\perp})$. As the class $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ is closed under extensions, $A' \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. Since $\alpha \circ g \colon A' \to M_3$ with $A^{'} \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ and M_1 an $\mathcal{W}$-injective R-module, then there exists $\beta \colon A' \to M_2$ such that $\psi \circ \beta = \alpha \circ g$. That is the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A' & \xrightarrow{g} & K \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} \\ M_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M_3 \end{array}$$

Now we define $\beta \restriction_{im\phi} \colon A' \to im\phi$, where $\restriction$ is a restriction map. Then there exists $\gamma \colon K' \to M_1$ such that $\beta \restriction_{im\phi} (f(K')) = \phi\gamma(K')$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \xrightarrow{f} & A' & \xrightarrow{g} & K & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The $\mathcal{W}$-injectivity of M_1 yields a homomorphism $\gamma_1 \colon A' \to M_1$ such that $\gamma = \gamma_1 \circ f$. So for each $k' \in K'$, we get $(\beta \circ f)(k') = (\phi \circ \gamma)(k') = (\phi \circ (\gamma_1 \circ f))(k')$. Then there exists a map $\beta_1 \in Hom_R(K, M_2)$ such that $\beta = \beta_1 \circ g$ and we get $\alpha = \psi \circ \beta_1$. That is the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K' & \xrightarrow{f} & A' & \xrightarrow{g} & K & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_1} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta} & \swarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_1} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi} & M_3 & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since M_2 is $\mathcal{W}$-injective, there exists $\rho \in Hom_R(A, M_2)$ such that $\beta_1 = \rho \circ f$. Thus $\alpha = \psi \circ \beta_1 = \psi \circ (\rho \circ f)$, where $\psi \circ \rho \in Hom_R(A, M_3)$. Hence M_3 is $\mathcal{W}$-injective. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be an R-module in $\mathcal{M}$. If the following two sequences*

$$0 \to M \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots \to G^{n-1} \to G^n \to 0$$
$$\textit{and } 0 \to M \to H^0 \to H^1 \to \cdots \to H^{n-1} \to H^n \to 0$$

are exact in $\mathcal{M}$ with G^i, $H^i \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then $G^n \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ if and only if $H^n \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$.

Proof. The class $\mathcal{M}$ has enough injectives. Then there is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$

$$0 \to M \to I^0 \to I^1 \to \cdots \to I^{n-1} \to L^n \to 0$$

with $I^i \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M})$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$. From the following complexes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{G}^{\bullet} &: \quad 0 \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots \to G^{n-1} \to G^n \to 0 \\ \mathbb{H}^{\bullet} &: \quad 0 \to H^0 \to H^1 \to \cdots \to H^{n-1} \to H^n \to 0 \\ \mathbb{I}^{\bullet} &: \quad 0 \to I^0 \to I^1 \to \cdots \to I^{n-1} \to L^n \to 0, \end{aligned}$$

we can choose morphisms $\mathbb{I}^{\bullet} \to \mathbb{G}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathbb{I}^{\bullet} \to \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}$. Then the following two sequences in $\mathcal{M}$:

$$0 \to G^0 \to G^1 \oplus I^0 \to \cdots \to G^{n-1} \oplus I^{n-2} \to G^n \oplus I^{n-1} \to L^n \to 0$$
$$\textit{and } 0 \to H^0 \to H^1 \oplus I^0 \to \cdots \to H^{n-1} \oplus I^{n-2} \to H^n \oplus I^{n-1} \to L^n \to 0$$

are exact. Let

$$G = im(G^{n-2} \oplus I^{n-3} \to G^{n-1} \oplus I^{n-2})$$

and

$$H = im(H^{n-2} \oplus I^{n-3} \to H^{n-1} \oplus I^{n-2}).$$

By Proposition 2.4, $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ is coresolving. Thus G and H are in $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. From the following short exact sequences, $0 \to G \to G^n \oplus I^{n-1} \to L^n \to 0$ and $0 \to H \to H^n \oplus I^{n-1} \to L^n \to 0$, we have that $G^n \oplus I^{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ if and only if $H^n \oplus I^{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. Now we consider the following exact sequences:

$$0 \to I^{n-1} \to G^n \oplus I^{n-1} \to G^n \to 0 \text{ and } 0 \to I^{n-1} \to H^n \oplus I^{n-1} \to H^n \to 0.$$

Then $G^n \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ if and only if $G^n \oplus I^{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ and $H^n \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ if and only if $H^n \oplus I^{n-1} \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. Hence $G^n \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ if and only if $H^n \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. □

By Lemma 2.5, we immediately have the following.

Proposition 2.6. *For $M \in Obj\mathcal{M}$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) \leq m$;
(2) $\Omega^{-n}(M) \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ *for* $n \geq m$;
(3) $\Omega^{-n}_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ *for* $n \geq m$.

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ denote the subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ whose objects have finite $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution dimensions. Then we have the following

Lemma 2.7. (1) *If $0 \to M \to G \to N \to 0$ is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ with $G \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$, then $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ if and only if $N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$. In this case, either all three objects are in $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ or $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N) + 1$.*

(2) *If $0 \to G \to M \to N \to 0$ is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ with $G \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$, then $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ if and only if $N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ and $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N)$.*

(3) *If $0 \to M \to N \to G \to 0$ is an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ with $G \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$, then $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ if and only if $N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ and $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N)$, except the case of $N \notin \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ and $M \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$.*

Proof. (1). By Theorem 2.2, N has an $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution. Let $0 \to N \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots$ be an $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution of N. Then $0 \to M \to G \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots$ be an $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution of M. The following inequality

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N) \leq cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) \leq cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N) + 1$$

is hold by Proposition 2.6. Thus $N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ if and only if $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$. Now we show that either all the three objects are in $\mathcal{M}$ or $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N) + 1$. The assertion is vacuously true when any one of the objects is zero. Suppose all the three objects are non zero. That is $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution dimension of all objects are non negative. If one of $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M)$ and $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N)$ is infinite, then there is nothing to prove. We shall assume that $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M)$ and $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N)$ are finite. If $M \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$, then all the three objects are in $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ since $N \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. If $M \notin \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$, let m be a $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M)$ and n be a $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N)$. Let $0 \to N \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots \to G^n \to 0$ be an $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution of N. It follows that $0 \to M \to G \to G^0 \to G^1 \to \cdots \to G^n \to 0$ is an $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution of M. Therefore $m \leq n+1$. If $m < n+1$, then $\Omega^{-m}_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) = im(G^{m-2} \to G^{m-1}) \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ by Proposition 2.6. This is a contradiction to $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(N) = n$. Hence $m = n + 1$.

(2). By enough injectives of $\mathcal{M}$, there is an exact sequence $0 \to M \to I \to L \to 0$ with $I \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M})$. Consider the pushout diagram of $M \to N$ and $M \to I$:



In the middle row, $X \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ since $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$ is coresolving. From the right column and the middle column, $N \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ if and only if $L \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$ if and only if $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$.

(3) Similar to the proof of (2). □

Proposition 2.8. *Let $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$ be an exact sequence of R-modules. If two of M_1, M_2 and M_3 are in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$, then so is the third.*

Proof. Let $n = \min\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_2), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_3)\}$. Clearly, $n < \infty$. By the Horseshoe Lemma, we have the following commutative diagram



with G^i and H^i are $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{X}}$-injective modules for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$. Consider the last row $0 \to L_1^n \to L_2^n \to L_3^n \to 0$. By the assumption and Proposition 2.6, at least one of L_1^n, L_2^n and L_3^n is in $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$. Since any two of M_1, M_2 and M_3 are in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$, two of L_1^n, L_2^n and L_3^n are in $\mathcal{C}$ by Lemma 2.5. Therefore all the three objects

L_1^n, L_2^n and L_3^n are in $\mathcal{C}$ by Lemma 2.7. But $L_i^n \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^\perp}$ if and only if $M_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^\perp}$ by Lemma 2.5 for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Hence it completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.9. *If M_1, M_2 and M_3 are in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^\perp}$, the following conditions hold:*

(1) *If $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$ is an exact sequence of R-modules, then*
$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) \leq \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3)\}$$
with strict inequality is possible only if
$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3) + 1.$$

(2) *If $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$ is an exact sequence of R-modules, then*
$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) \leq 1 + \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2)\}$$

(3) *If $M_3 = M_1 \oplus M_2$, then*
$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3) \leq \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2)\}.$$

Proof. (1). By the analog proof of the Proposition 2.8 and the Horseshoe Lemma,

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) \leq \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3)\}.$$

It remains to show that the above equation with strict inequality is possible only if $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3) + 1$. Suppose

$$n = \min\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3)\},\ cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) = m$$

and $N = \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3)\}$. If $m \leq n$, then by the Horseshoe Lemma, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^{-m}(M_1) \to \Omega^{-m}(M_2) \to \Omega^{-m}(M_3) \to 0.$$

Then $\Omega^{-m}(M_2) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$ and $\Omega^{-m}(M_3) \notin \mathcal{W}^\perp$ when $m < n$. By Lemma 2.7,

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\Omega^{-n}(M_1)) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}\Omega^{-n}(M_3) + 1$$

and hence $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3) + 1$. If $m = n$, then $\Omega^{-n}(M_2) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$. Hence by Lemma 2.7, either both $\Omega^{-n}(M_1)$ and $\Omega^{-n}(M_3)$ are in $\mathcal{W}^\perp$ or $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\Omega^{-n}(M_1)) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}\Omega^{-n}(M_3) + 1$. Thus $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3) + 1$. If $n < m$, then again we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to \Omega^{-m}(M_1) \to \Omega^{-m}(M_2) \to \Omega^{-m}(M_3) \to 0$$

such that $\Omega^{-n}(M_2) \notin \mathcal{W}^\perp$ and either $\Omega^{-n}(M_1)$ or $\Omega^{-n}(M_3) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$. If $\Omega^{-n}(M_1) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$, then $\Omega^{-n}(M_3) \notin \mathcal{W}^\perp$. By Lemma 2.7(2),

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\Omega^{-n}(M_2)) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\Omega^{-n}(M_3))$$

and hence

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_3) = N.$$

If $\Omega^{-n}(M_3) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$, then $\Omega^{-n}(M_1) \notin \mathcal{W}^\perp$. By Lemma 2.7 (3),

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\Omega^{-n}(M_1)) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\Omega^{-n}(M_2))$$

and hence $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) = N$. The proof is complete.

(2). Similar to the proof of (1).

(3). By (1),

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1 \oplus M_2) \leq \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2)\}$$

with strict inequality is possible only if $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) \pm 1$. Now we only to show that

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2)\}$$

if $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) \pm 1$.

Suppose $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) + 1 = n + 1$. Then there are two exact sequences

$$0 \to M_1 \stackrel{d_0}{\to} I_0 \stackrel{d_1}{\to} I_1 \stackrel{d_2}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{d_{n-1}}{\to} I_{n-1} \stackrel{d_n}{\to} I_n \stackrel{d_{n+1}}{\to} G_{n+1} \to 0$$

and

$$0 \to M_2 \stackrel{d'_0}{\to} E_0 \stackrel{d'_1}{\to} E_1 \stackrel{d'_2}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{d'_{n-1}}{\to} E_{n-1} \stackrel{d'_n}{\to} H_n \to 0$$

with all I_i and E_j being injective for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \cdots n-1\}$, G_{n+1} and H_n being in $\mathcal{W}^\perp$. Hence

$$0 \to M_1 \oplus M_2 \stackrel{d_0 \oplus d'_0}{\longrightarrow} I_0 \oplus E_0 \stackrel{d_1 \oplus d'_1}{\longrightarrow} I_1 \oplus E_1 \stackrel{d_2 \oplus d'_2}{\longrightarrow} \cdots \stackrel{d_{n-1} \oplus d'_{n-1}}{\longrightarrow} I_n \oplus E_n \stackrel{d_n \oplus d'_n}{\longrightarrow} I_n \oplus E_n \stackrel{0 \oplus d^{n+1}}{\longrightarrow} G_{n+1} \to 0$$

is an $\mathcal{W}^\perp$-coresolution of $M_1 \oplus M_2$. If $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1 \oplus M_2) = m < n + 1$, then by Proposition 2.6,

$$im(I_{n-1} \oplus E_{n-1} \stackrel{d_{n-1} \oplus d'_{n-1}}{\longrightarrow} I_n \oplus E_n) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp \text{ for } m \leq n.$$

Thus $\Omega^{-m}(M_1) \oplus \Omega^{-m}(M_2) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$. Since $\mathcal{W}^\perp$ is closed under direct summands, $\Omega^{-m}(M_2) \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$. Hence $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2) \leq m < n + 1$. This is a contradiction to our assumption. Thus

$$cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1 \oplus M_2) = \max\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M_2)\}.$$

□

3. $\mathcal{W}$-injective dimensions with derived functors

In this section, we give some of the characterizations of $\mathcal{W}$-injective coresolution dimension.

Proposition 3.1. *If $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^\perp}$ and an integer $n \geq 0$, then the following are equivalent:*

1. $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \leq n$;
2. $Ext^{n+1}_R(G, M) = 0$ *for all R-modules $G \in \mathcal{W}$;*
3. *If the sequence $0 \to M \to E_0 \to E_1 \to \cdots \to E_n \to 0$ is exact with $E_i \in \mathcal{W}^\perp$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then E_n is $\mathcal{W}$-injective;*
4. $Ext^{n+i}_R(G, M) = 0$ *for all R-modules $G \in \mathcal{W}$ and $i \geq 1$;*
5. *If K_n is the nth syzygy of a pure projective R-module N, then $K_n \in {}^\perp M$;*
6. *For any pure projective R-module N, there is an exact sequence $0 \to F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to N \to 0$ in $\mathcal{M}$ where each $F_i \in {}^\perp M$;*
7. $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(N) \leq n$ *for all $N \in ({}^\perp M)^\perp$;*
8. *For any pure projective R-module N, there is an exact sequence $0 \to F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to N \to 0$ in $\mathcal{M}$ where each $F_i \in {}^\perp M$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then $F_n \in {}^\perp M$;*
9. *There exists an exact sequence $0 \to M \to E_0 \to E_1 \to \cdots \to E_n \to 0$, where each E_i is $\mathcal{W}$-injective.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). We show that by induction on n. Result is clearly true if $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \leq n - 1$. Let $G \in \mathcal{W}$. By [11, p.235] every module admits pure projective pure solution. Then G admits a pure projective pure solution

$$0 \to \ker d_n \to P_n \stackrel{d_n}{\to} P_{n-1} \stackrel{d_{n-1}}{\to} \cdots \stackrel{d_2}{\to} P_1 \stackrel{d_1}{\to} P_0 \stackrel{d_0}{\to} G \to 0,$$

where the modules $P_0, \cdots, P_n$ are pure projective. By [11, Theorem 3.3], $\ker d_n$ is pure projective. Hence $Ext_R^{n+1}(G, M) = 0$ by an isomorphism $Ext_R^{n+1}(G, M) \cong Ext_R^n(\ker d_n, M)$ and by induction hypothesis.

It follows from an isomorphism $Ext_R^1(G, E_n) \cong Ext_R^{n+1}(G, M)$, (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1), (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) and (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (9) are hold.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (4) For an R-module M, there is a short exact sequence $0 \to M \to E \to L \to 0$, where E is injective, which induces an exact sequence $Ext_R^{n+1}(G, L) \to Ext_R^{n+2}(G, M) \to Ext_R^{n+}(G, E) = 0$ for any pure projective R-module G. By (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3), L is $\mathcal{W}$-injective and hence $Ext_R^{n+2}(G, M) = 0$. Continuing this process, we get $Ext_R^{n+1}(G, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is trivial.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (8). Let N be a pure projective R-module and $0 \to F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to N \to 0$ an exact sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ with $F_i \in {}^{\perp}M$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots n-1\}$. Then $Ext_R^j(F_n, M) \cong Ext_R^{n+j}(N, M) = 0$ for any $j \geq 1$. Thus $F_n \in {}^{\perp}M$.

(8) $\Rightarrow$ (7). Let N be a pure projective R-module. There is an exact sequence $0 \to K_n \to P_{n-1} \to \cdots \to P_0 \to N \to 0$ with P_i a projective R-module for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$, then $K_n \in {}^{\perp}M$ by (8). Let $H \in {}^{\perp}(M^{\perp})$, then $K_n \in {}^{\perp}H$ since ${}^{\perp}M = {}^{\perp}(({}^{\perp}M)^{\perp}) \subseteq {}^{\perp}H$. Thus $Ext_R^{n+j}(N, H) \cong Ext_R^j(K_n, H) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$. Hence $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(H) \leq n$ by (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4).

(7) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is clear. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (5). Let K_n be an nth syzygy of a pure projective R-module N, then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to K_n \to P_{n-1} \to \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to N \to 0,$$

with P_i a projective R-module for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, n-1\}$. By (1), $Ext_R^j(K_n, M) \cong Ext_R^{n+j}(N, M) = 0$ for every $j \geq 1$. Thus $K_n \in {}^{\perp}M$.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (6). Let N be a pure projective R-module. Consider the projective resolution of N $\cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to N \to 0$. Then we get an exact sequence $0 \to K_n \to \cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to N \to 0$ with K_n is an nth syzygy. By (5), $K_n \in {}^{\perp}M$.

(6) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is clear since $M \in ({}^{\perp}M)^{\perp}$. □

The *finitistic $\mathcal{W}^{\perp}$-coresolution of dimension*, denoted by $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(\mathcal{M})$, is defined as $\sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) \colon M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}\}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$ be an exact sequence of R-modules. If two of $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_1)$, $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_2)$ and $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_3)$ are finite, so is the third. Moreover,*

(1) $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_2) \leq \sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_1), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_3)\}$;
(2) $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_1) \leq \sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_2), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_3) + 1\}$;
(3) $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_3) \leq \sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_2), cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_1) - 1\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 3.1. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let M_1, M_2 and M_3 be in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}$. Then the following hold:*

(1) *If $0 \to M_1 \to M_2 \to M_3 \to 0$ is an exact sequence of R-modules, where $0 < cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_1) < \infty$ and $M_2 \in \mathcal{W}^{\perp}$, then $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_3) = cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M_1) - 1$.*
(2) *$Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(\mathcal{M}) = n$ if and only if $sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(I) : I$ is any left ideal of $R\} = n - 1$ for any integer $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. (1) is hold by Proposition 3.2.

(2). For a left ideal I of R, consider the exact sequence $0 \to I \to R \to R/I \to 0$. Then it follows from (1). □

Theorem 3.4. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\mathcal{M})$;
(2) $\sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M)\colon M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}^\perp}\}$;
(3) $\sup\{pd_{\mathcal{M}}(F)\colon F$ *is a pure projective* R*-module*$\}$;
(4) $\sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M)\colon M$ *is an* R*-module*$\}$.

Proof. (1) = (2), (3) $\leq$ (2) and (4) $\leq$ (2) are clear.

(2) $\leq$ (3). Suppose $sup\{pd(F)\colon F$ is a pure projective R-module$\} = m < \infty$. Let M be an R-module and G any pure projective R-module. Since $pd(G) \leq m$, $Ext_R^{m+1}(G, M) = 0$. Hence $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \leq m$.

(2) $\leq$ (4). Suppose $sup\{cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(F)\colon F$ is a pure projective R-module$\} = m < \infty$. Let M be an R-module. Since every module admits a pure projective preenvelope, there is a short exact sequence $0 \to M \to F \to L \to 0$, where F is pure projective. By the second condition of Proposition 3.2, $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \leq cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(F) \leq m$. □

Corollary 3.5. *The following conditions are equivalent for an integer* $n \geq 0$*:*

(1) $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}) \leq n$;
(2) $pd_{\mathcal{M}}(M) \leq n$ *for all pure projective* R*-modules* M;
(3) $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \leq n$ *for all pure projective* R*-modules* M;
(4) $pd_{\mathcal{M}}(M) \leq n$ *for all* R*-modules* M *that are both pure projective and* $\mathcal{W}$*-injective and* $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$;
(5) $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \leq n$ *for all projective* R*-modules* M*, and* $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(\mathcal{M}) < \infty$;
(6) $Ext_R^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$ *for all pure projective* R*-modules* M *and* N*;*
(7) $Ext_R^{n+i}(M, N) = 0$ *for all pure projective* R*-modules* M*,* N *and* $i \geq 1$.

Proof. We need only to show that (4) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (5) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let M be any pure projective R-module. Since $res.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(R) < \infty$, $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) = m$ for some nonnegative integer m by Theorem 3.4(2). Every R-module has an $\mathcal{W}$-injective preenvelope by [10, Theorem 6.2]. Then there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to M \to E_0 \to E_1 \to \ldots \to E_{m-1} \to E_m \to 0,$$

where each E_i is both $\mathcal{W}$-injective and pure projective. Hence $pd_{\mathcal{M}}(M) \leq n$ since $pd_{\mathcal{M}}(E_i) \leq n$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \cdots, m\}$.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (3). Let M be a pure projective R-module. Since $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(R) < \infty$, $pd_{\mathcal{M}}(M) = m$ for some integer $m \geq 0$ by Corollary 3.3. Hence M admits a projective resolution

$$0 \to P_m \to P_{m-1} \to \ldots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to M \to 0.$$

Note that $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(P_i) \leq n$ for each P_i by (5). Hence by Proposition 3.1, $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^\perp}(M) \leq n$. □

4. Application

Recall that an injective envelope $\alpha_M\colon M \to E(M)$ of M has the unique mapping propery in [2] if for any homomorphism $f\colon M \to N$ with N an injective module, there exists a unique homomorphism $g\colon E(M) \to N$ such that $g\alpha_M = f$. Similarly, we can define $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope with the unique mapping property. Now we give some equivalent conditions of $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope with the unique mapping property.

Proposition 4.1. *The following conditions are equivalent over an arbitrary ring R.*

(1) *Every pure projective R-module has an $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope with the unique mapping property;*
(2) *Every pure projective R-module is $\mathcal{W}$-injective;*
(3) $Ext^1_R(M,N)=0$ *for all pure projective R-modules M and N;*
(4) *Every projective R-module is $\mathcal{W}$-injective;*
(5) *Every pure projective R-module is injective;*
(6) *Every pure projective R-module has an injective envelope with the unique mapping property.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let M be a pure projective R-module. There is the following commutative diagram with exact row:



Note that $\alpha_L g\alpha_M = 0 = 0\alpha_M$. By (6), $\alpha_L g = 0$ and hence $L = img \subseteq \ker\ \alpha_L = 0$ since α_L is monic. Thus M is $\mathcal{W}$-injective.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) is clear.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4). By Corollary 3.5, $Fcores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(\mathcal{M}) = 0$. Thus $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) = 0$ for all projective R-modules M. Hence every projective R-module is $\mathcal{W}$-injective.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3). By (4), $cores.dim_{\mathcal{W}^{\perp}}(M) = 0$ for all projective R-modules. By Corollary 3.5, $Ext^1_R(M,N) = 0$ for all pure projective R-modules M and N.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). Let M be a pure projective R-module. By [10, Theorem 6.2], M has a $\mathcal{W}$-injective envelope $h\colon M \to W$, where W is $\mathcal{W}$-injective. It is enough to show that, for any $\mathcal{W}$-injective R-module W' and any homomorphism $l\colon W \to W'$ such that $lh = 0$, we have $l = 0$. Clearly, there exists $\gamma\colon M \to \ker l$ such that $i\gamma = h$ since $imh \subseteq iml$, where $i\colon \ker l \to W$ is the inclusion map. By (3), $Ext^1_R(G,L) = 0$ for all pure projective R-modules G and L. That is $pd_{\mathcal{M}}(G) = 0$ for all pure projective R-modules G by Corollary 3.5. It follows that $Ext^1_R(G, \ker l) = 0$ for all pure projective R-modules G. Hence $\ker l$ is $\mathcal{W}$-injective. Thus there exists $\mu\colon W \to \ker l$ such that $\gamma = \mu h$. Then we get the commutative diagram with exact row:



Thus $(i\mu)h = i(\mu h) = i\gamma = h$, and hence $i\mu$ is an isomorphism. It follows that i is epic. Thus $l = 0$.

(5) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is clear.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (6). Similar to the proof of (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

(6) $\Rightarrow$ (5). Let M be a pure projective R-module. There is the following commutative diagram with exact row



Note that $\alpha_L\beta\alpha_M = 0 = 0\alpha_M$. By (5), $\alpha_L\beta = 0$ and hence $L = im(\beta) \subseteq \ker \alpha_L = 0$ since α_L is monic. Thus M is injective since α_M is an isomorphism. □

Acknowledgments

The author was supported by Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Bhopal, Institute Post Doctoral Fellowship, PF. No. 8060.

References

[1] F. W. Anderson and K.R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York 1992.
[2] N. Q. Ding, On envelopes with the unique mapping property, *Comm. Algebra* 24(4), (1996), 1459-1470.
[3] E. E. Enochs, injective and flat covers, envelopes and resolvents, *Isrel J. Math.* 39 (1981) 189-209.
[4] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Exp. Math., Vol. 30, Walter de Gruyter: Berlin-New York, 2000.
[5] R. Göbel and J. Trlifaj, *Approximations and Endomorphisms Algebras of Modules*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
[6] L. Mao and N. Q. Ding, $\mathcal{L}$-injective hulls of modules, *Bull. Aus. Math. Soc.* 74, (2006) 37-44.
[7] H. Prüfer, Studies on the dismantling of countable primary abelian groups, Math. Zeit. 17(1), (1923) 35-61.
[8] G. Puninski and P. Rothmaler, Pure projective modules, *J. London Math. Soc.* 71(2), (2005) 304-320.
[9] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York 1979.
[10] C. Selvaraj, A. Umamaheswaran and R. Udhayakumar, Covers and Envelopes of $\mathcal{U}_\mathcal{X}$-injective modules, http://arxiv.org/pdf/1502.05172.pdf.
[11] D. Simson, Pure-periodic modules and a structure of pure-projective resolutions, *Pacific journal of Mathematics*, 207 (1), (2002), 235-256.
[12] B. Stenström, Coherent Rings and FP-injective modules, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 2 (1970), 323-329.
[13] J. Trlifaj, *Infinite dimensional tilting modules and cotorsion pairs, Hand book of tilting theory*, Lect. Notes 322, London Math. Soc., Cambridge (2007), 279-321.
[14] J. Xu, *Flat covers of Modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Vol 1634 Springer-Verlag, Germany, 1996.

† Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal - 462 066, Madhya Pradesh, India.
E-mail address: ruthreswaran@gmail.com